

## REMARKS

Claims 1-8 are pending in the present application and were examined. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 stand rejected and Claims 4 and 8 are objected to. In response, Claims 1-8 are cancelled and Claims 9-13 are added. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of pending Claims 9-13 in view of at least the following remarks.

### **I. Abstract**

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract exceeds over 150 words and over 15 lines.

In response, a replacement abstract is provided that contains at most 150 words. Please withdraw the objection to the abstract in view of the replacement abstract.

### **II. Drawing Objections**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include references not mentioned in the description. In response, Applicants have amended the specification at para. [0196] to read “Figure 3 contains an illustration of how communication between client and web server works.” Therefore, please reconsider and withdraw the objection to the drawings in view of the amendment to the specification.

### **III. Claims Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. § 101**

A. Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. We submit that the §101 rejection of Claims 1-8 is now moot in view of Applicant's cancellation of Claims 1-8.

### **IV. Claims Rejected Under 35 U.S.C. § 112**

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

We submit that the above-mentioned §112, second paragraph rejection is now moot in view of Applicant's cancellation of Claim 1.

**V. Claim Objections**

Claims 4 and 8 are objected to as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim must not depend from another multiple dependent claim. In addition, Claims 1, 3, and 5 are objected to because of informalities.

We submit that the above-mentioned objections to Claims 1, 3-5 and 8 are now moot in view of Applicant's cancellation of Claims 1-8.

**VI. Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,950,848 to Yousefi'zadeh ("Yousefi'zadeh"). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

We submit that the above-mentioned rejection of Claims 1-3 and 5-7 is now moot in view of Applicant's cancellation of Claims 1-8.

Regarding new Claims 9-13, neither Yousefi'zadeh, nor the references of record described or suggested an intermediate software layer that includes a document manager to automatically and transparently manage, in said end-user storage space, the creation, the naming and the storage of documents linked to information objects of the database, said information objects belonging to a group consisting of projects, documents, tasks, diary elements, electronic messages, third party receivers, issuing members, types of documents and chronology, as in Claim 9.

For each of the above reasons, therefore, Claim 9 and all claims which depend from Claim 9 are patentable over the cited reference Yousefi'zadeh as well as the references of record.

Consequently, we request that the Examiner allow new Claims 9-13.

**PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

Per 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) and in connection with the Office Action mailed on October 30, 2007, Applicant respectfully petitions Commissioner for a one (1) month extension of time, extending the period for response to February 29, 2008. Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2666 in the amount of \$120.00 to cover the petition filing fee for a 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1) large entity. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

**CONCLUSION**


In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the pending claims patentably define the subject invention over the cited references of record, and are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would be useful in moving the case forward, he is encouraged to contact the undersigned at (310) 207-3800.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17, particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP


Dated: 2/26/08

  
Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765

1279 Oakmead Parkway  
Sunnyvale, California 94085-4040  
Telephone (310) 207-3800  
Facsimile (408) 720-8383

**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being submitted electronically via EFS Web on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

  
Elaine Kwak

2/26/08  
Date